Appl. No. 09/670,870 Amdt. Dated March 16, 2008 Reply to Office Action of October 21, 2005

Docket No. CM00914S Customer No. 22917

REMARKS

Applicants would like to first thank the Examiner for granting a telephone interview today, wherein the Examiner, inventor Boaz Or-Shraga and Applicants' attorney Valerie Davis discussed Claims 21 and 25 in view of the Hirano reference (USPN 5,608,804). No agreement was reached regarding the allowability of these claims in view of Hirano. However, in the interest of expediting prosecution of the above case to allowance, Applicants have cancelled Claims 1, 21-22, 25 and 28-31, have rewritten objected to Claim 4 in independent form including all of the limitations of the cancelled base Claim 1, and have further amended Claims 2-3 and 6 to depend from allowable Claim 4. No new matter was added by these amendments. Claims 2-7, 10-20, 24, 32 and 34-37 remain in this application, and Applicants request that the Examiner reconsider the application in view of the above amendments and these remarks.

Applicants acknowledge the Examiner's finding that Applicant's amendment has overcome the rejections of claims 18 and 35 through 37 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, made in the prior office action.

Applicants further acknowledge the Examiner's statements that Claims 7, 10-20, 24, 32 and 34-37 are allowed and that Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim. Applicants have accordingly rendered Claims 2-6 allowable by rewriting Claim 4 in independent form including all of the limitations of the base Claim 1 and have amended Claims 2-3 and 6, such that Claims 2-3 and 5-6 depend from an allowable base claim.

Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Capman, et al. (EP 0854626A1). Applicants have cancelled Claim 1 and have amended Claims 2-3 and 6 to depend from amended and allowable Claim 4.

Claims 21, 22, 25 and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hirano (USPN 5,608,804). Applicants have cancelled Claims 21, 22, 25 and 28-31.

Appl. No. 09/670,870 Arndt. Dated March 16, 2006 Reply to Office Action of October 21, 2005 Docket No. CM00914S Customer No. 22917

The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

Please charge any fees that may be due to Deposit Account 502117, Motorola, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc. 1303 East Algonquin Road IL01/3rd Floor Schaumburg, IL 60196 Customer Number: 22917 Valerie M. Davis Attorney of Record Reg. No.: 50,203

Telephone: 847-576-6733 Fax No.: 847-576-0721